25 COPY		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1		
86		
s to the		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR HIRD		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR HIRD		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR HIRD		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR HIRD		
s to the  PROPOSED;  DEFENDANTS THEIR HIRD		

1 **STIPULATION** 2 This Stipulation is entered into between Plaintiff the State of Florida 3 ("Plaintiff" or "Florida") and Defendants Hynix Semiconductor Inc., Hynix 4 Semiconductor America Inc., Nanya Technology Corp., Nanya Technology USA, 5 Infineon Technology AG, Infineon Technology North America Crop., Micron 6 Technology, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., Elpida Memory, Inc., Elpida 7 Memory (USA) Inc. and NEC Electronics America, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") and 8 is made with reference to the following facts: 9 WHEREAS on May 13, 2008, Defendants filed and served Answers Α. 10 to the Third Amended Complaint in this action; and 11 B. WHEREAS each of the Defendants named herein asserted an 12 affirmative defense against Florida's claims under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade 13 Practices Act ("FDUTPA"), including Elpida's Sixtieth Affirmative Defense, Hynix's 14 Sixty-Second Affirmative Defense, Infineon's Forty-Third Affirmative Defense, Micron's 15 Forty-Third Affirmative Defense, Nanya's Eleventh and Sixty-Fourth Affirmative 16 Defenses and NEC's Sixty-Seventh Affirmative Defense (collectively the "FDUTPA" 17 Affirmative Defenses"); and C. WHEREAS Plaintiff Florida filed a Motion to Strike the FDUTPA 18 19 Affirmative Defenses and one other Affirmative Defense that is not part of this Stipulation 20 (NEC's "Mack Affirmative Defense") on July 2, 2008; and 21 D. WHEREAS prior to and since Florida filed its Motion to Strike, Florida and Defendants have met and conferred in an attempt to resolve Florida's 22 23 challenge to the FDUTPA Affirmative Defenses. 24 THEREFORE, subject to approval of the Court, Florida and Defendants 25 hereby stipulate and agree as follows: 26 1. Defendants shall be granted leave to amend their Answers to the 27 Third Amended Complaint to amend each of the FDUTPA Affirmative Defenses,

including Elpida's Sixtieth Affirmative Defense, Hynix's Sixty-Second Affirmative

28

1	Defense, Infineon's Forty-Third Affirmative Defense, Micron's Forty-Third Affirmative		
2	Defense, Nanya's Eleventh and Sixty-Fourth Affirmative Defenses and NEC's Sixty-		
3	Seventh Affirmative Defense; and		
4	2. Without prejudice to Florida's right to challenge this Affirmative		
5	Defense on the merits at a later time, Florida and Defendants stipulate and agree that the		
6	revised FDUTPA Affirmative Defenses shall state as follows:		
7	Plaintiff's claims under Florida Stat. 501.201 et seq. fail		
8	because the alleged injuries of Plaintiff and those it seeks to		
9	represent or on whose behalf it purports to pursue claims are		
10	too speculative, derivative, indirect or remote, and therefore		
11	were not proximately caused by [Defendant].		
12	3. Florida shall withdraw its Motion to Strike the		
13	FDUTPA Affirmative Defenses; and		
14	4. This Stipulation shall not affect Florida's Motion to		
15	Strike NEC's Sixty-Ninth Affirmative Defense, which motion is set for		
16	hearing on September 10, 2008; and		
17	5. Defendants shall have 20 days from the date of entry		
18	of the [Proposed] Order to file their amended answers.		
19			
20	SO STIPULATED.		
21	Dated: August 20, 2008 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP KENNETH R. O'ROURKE		
22	MICHAEL F. TUBACH STEVEN H. BERGMAN		
23	JANE Y. CHANG		
24			
25	By: /Steven H. Bergman/		
26	Steven H. Bergman  Attorneys for Defendants		
27	Attorneys for Defendants HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC. and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA		
28	INC. STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER		
I.	-7- STREETHOUSED ORDER		

LA2:867998.1

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND ANSWERS CASE NO. C-06-4333 PJH

1		
2	Dated: August 20, 2008	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
3		JOEL S. ŚANDERS G. CHARLES NIERLICH
4		JOSHUA HESS
5		
6		By: /Joel S. Sanders/ Joel S. Sanders
7		Attorneys for Defendants
8		Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.
9	Data 1. Account 20, 2000	,
10	Dated: August 20, 2008	KAYE SCHOLER LLP ATON ARBISSER
11		JULIAN BREW JOSHUA STAMBAUGH
12		
13		By: /Julian Brew/
14		Julian Brew
15		Attorneys for Defendants INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES NORTH
16		AMERICA CORP. and INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG
17		
18	Dated: August 20, 2008	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
19		JAMES G. KREISSMAN HARRISON J. FRAHN IV
20		GABRIEL N. RUBIN
21		
22		By: /Harrison J. Frahn/ Harrison J. Frahn
23		Attorneys for Defendants
24		ELPIDA MEMORY (USA) INC. and ELPIDA MEMORY, INC.
25		.,
26		
27		
28		
		STIPHI ATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER

## Case 4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document 444 Filed 08/25/08 Page 5 of 7

•		
1	D . 1 . A	ODDICK HEDDINGTON () CHTCH IFFE
3	Dated: August 20, 2008	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP ROBERT FREITAS
3 4		HOWARD M. ULLMAN NA'IL BENJAMIN
5		CATHERINE LUI
6		
7		By: /Howard M. Ullman/ Howard M. Ullman
8		Attorneys for Defendants NANYA TECHNOLOGY
9		CORPORATION AND NANYA
10		TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION USA
11	Dated: August 20, 2008	THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
12		ROBERT B. PRINGLE PAUL GRIFFIN
13		JONATHAN E. SWARTZ
14		
15		By: /Jonathan E. Swartz/ Jonathan E. Swartz
16 17		Attorneys for Defendant
18		NEC ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
19	Dated: August 20, 2008	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL LIZABETH A. LEEDS
20		ELI A. FRIEDMAN
21		
22		By: /Lizabeth A. Leeds/ Lizabeth A. Leeds
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE OF FLORIDA
24		STATE OF FLORIDA
25		
26		
27		
28		- 4 - STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER

## **ATTESTATION OF FILING**

Pursuant to General Order No. 45 § X(B), I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing of Plaintiff State of Florida and the other Defendants named herein to this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Granting Defendants Leave to Amend Their Answers to the Third Amended Complaint.

\_\_\_\_\_\_/Steven H. Bergman/ Steven H. Bergman

[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties named above, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 845 08 Hon Phyllis J. Hamilton United States District Judge